1	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney BRITTANY M. GUNTER Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
2		
3		
4		
5	Facsilille. (339) 497-4099	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
0		
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No: 1:25-CR-00119-KES-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER
13	v.	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; AND ORDER
4	RALPH CONTRERAS, JR.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE, through their respective attorneys of record, Assistant	
19	United States Attorney Brittany M. Gunter, counsel for the government, and Eric Schweitzer, counsel	
20	for Ralph Contreras, Jr. ("the defendant"), that this action's Wednesday, August 27, 2025, status	
21	conference be continued to Wednesday, December 10, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. The parties likewise ask	
22	the court to endorse this stipulation by way of formal order.	
23	The parties base this stipulation on good cause, as follows:	
24	1. The grand jury returned an indictment regarding this matter on June 12, 2025. ECF 13.	
25	Since then, the government prepared and delivered an initial set of discovery to defense	
26	counsel within the time limits set forth by the Local Rules. The defense is and has been	
- 1		

27

28

9

13

14

12

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

///

///

24

25

2627

28

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

- reviewing discovery thus far provided.
- 2. The government will follow up with law enforcement to determine the extent supplemental discovery exists. If the government identifies additional information that should be produced as supplemental discovery, the government will promptly produce it to defense counsel in accord with Rule 16.
- 3. As defense counsel completes his analysis of the discovery produced in this case, counsel for the government will work with the defense and the HSI Fresno office to ensure that the defense is able to timely review, upon its request, any electronic evidence in this case in accord with relevant provisions of the Adam Walsh Act.
- 4. Defense counsel requires more time to complete his review of the discovery, complete any additional investigation, discuss the case with the defendant, arrange for a review of the electronic evidence, and consider consulting with an expert.
- 5. By the time of the continued status conference and as all discovery is timely reviewed, the parties further intend to explore the extent this case can be resolved prior to trial.
- 6. Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 7. The government does not object to the continuance.
- 8. The parties therefore stipulate that the period of time from August 27, 2025, through and including December 10, 2025, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the parties' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking

Case 1:25-cr-00119-KES-BAM Document 31 Filed 08/20/25 Page 3 of 3

such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 1 2 IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: August 19, 2025 **ERIC GRANT** 3 **United States Attorney** 4 5 By: _/s/ BRITTANY M. GUNTER **BRITTANY M. GUNTER** 6 Assistant United States Attorney 7 Dated: August 19, 2025 By: _ /s/ ERIC SCHWEITZER 8 ERIC SCHWEITZER Attorney for Defendant 9 Ralph Contreras, Jr. 10 11 ORDER 12 IT IS ORDERED that the status conference currently set for August 27, 2025 is continued to 13 December 10, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. 14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the period of time from August 27, 2025, through and 15 including December 10, 2025, is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) 16 and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the parties' request on the basis of 17 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the 18 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 19 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 1s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: **August 19, 2025** 22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 23 24 25 26

27

28